

**COURT NO. 3, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL,  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**T.A. No. 443 OF 2010**

**WP(C) No. 3229 OF 1999 of Delhi High Court**

**IN THE MATTER OF :**

**N.C. Vijay Pal**

**.....Applicant**

**VERSUS**

**Union of India & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**Dated : 13-04-2010**

Present:     None for the Applicant.  
                 Sgt. Harpal Singh on behalf of the Respondents.

Perused the record.

Despite notices, none appeared on behalf of the Applicant. Learned officer appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that Applicant was discharged on 22-01-1999 on the basis of habitual offender policy since the Applicant was having five red ink entries. He further submits that Respondents are not having any fresh address of the Applicant since the Applicant is no more on roll long back. He requests that since no cause of action subsists, therefore, present application may be dismissed.

Considering the facts of the case as none appeared on behalf of the Applicant despite notices and Respondents are not having any fresh address of the Applicant, no fruitful purpose shall be served in keeping this case pending. Hence, in these circumstances, without observing anything on the merits of the case, case is dismissed for non appearance. File be consigned to Record Room.

**MANAK MOHTA,  
(Judicial Member)**

**Z.U. SHAH,  
(Administrative Member)**

**Dated : 13-04-2010**